Global trend analysis of the MODIS drought severity index
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Abstract

Recently, Mu et al. (2013) have compiled an open access data base of a remotely sensed global drought severity index (DSI) based on MODIS satellite measurements covering a continuous period of 12 years. The highest spatial resolution is $0.05^\circ \times 0.05^\circ$ in the geographic band between $60^\circ$ S and $80^\circ$ N latitudes (more than 4.9 million locations over land). Here we present a global trend analysis of these satellite based DSI time series in order to identify geographic areas where either positive or negative trends are statistically significant. Since a DSI value conveys local characterisation at a given site, we argue that usual field significance tests cannot provide more information about the observations than the presented analysis. We are fully aware of the fact that 12 years are too short for establishing any link to global climate change, however a series of severe droughts or inland inundation on a scale of a decade might have devastating consequences for affected human communities.

1 Introduction

Severe droughts or floods are pernicious events for both ecosystems and human society. There are several indices used widely for drought assessment integrating large amounts of data (precipitation, snow-pack, stream-flow, etc.). Probably the best known is the Palmer drought severity index (PDSI) (Palmer, 1968; Alley, 1984) determined by monthly water supply (precipitation), water outputs (evaporation and runoff), and preceding soil water status. New variants of the original approach have been emerged in order to overcome some limitations of the Palmer model (Alley, 1984; Keyantas and Dracup, 2002), such as the self-calibrating PDSI by Wells et al. (2004) or PDSI incorporating improved formulations for potential evapotranspiration (Heim, 2002). Remote sensing data from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) combined with NCEP reanalysis meteorological records and statistical procedures together have supported to develop an evaporative drought index (EDI) by Yao et al. (2010,
In order to better exploit the strengths of continuous satellite observations, Mu et al. (2013) have recently developed a remotely sensed global drought severity index (DSI), and compiled an open access data base spanning 12 years between 2000 and 2011 at a temporal resolution of 8 days. The highest spatial resolution is around 5 km (0.05° × 0.05°) with an almost global coverage. Permanently unvegetated locations such as deserts, high mountains, lakes, or large cities cannot provide input for DSI data, because the computation algorithm incorporates the normalised difference vegetation index (MOD13 NDVI product), besides the evapotranspiration and potential evapotranspiration ratio data (MOD16 ET/PET product), for details see Mu et al. (2013).

To our best knowledge, the most comprehensive and longest PDSI trend analysis has been provided by Dai et al. (2004). A monthly PDSI data set from 1870 to 2002 has been derived using historical precipitation and temperature data for global land areas on a grid of 2.5° × 2.5°. An empirical orthogonal function (EOF) analysis resulted in a linear trend in the twentieth century, with drying over northern and southern Africa, the Middle East, Mongolia, and eastern Australia, and moistening over the United States, Argentina, and parts of Eurasia (Dai et al., 2004). A follow-up study by Dai (2011) compared the original and three other variants of PDSI records, but the main conclusion remained the same: warming in the second half of the last century is responsible for much of the drying trend over several land areas. Increased heating itself from global climate change may not cause droughts but it is expected that when droughts occur they are likely to set in quicker and be more intense (Trenberth et al., 2014). However, similarly to the open questions on an optimal definition of a drought index, debates on the trends are also not entirely closed (Sheffield et al., 2012; Damberg and Agha Kouchak, 2013; Spinoni et al., 2013).

Here we report on a global trend analysis of the remotely sensed DSI time series by Mu et al. (2013). Special attention is paid for testing the statistical significance of local
linear trends by data shuffling (see Sect. 2). Our main result is that 17 % of the land area exhibit significant trends of both signs (drying or wetting), and most of such locations are joined to large, geographically correlated areas. We emphasise that the usual field significance tests (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995; Douglas et al., 2000; Ventura et al., 2004; Wilks, 2006; Renard et al., 2008) cannot give more reliable estimates, because a DSI value as defined provide a fully local characterisation, and the same numerical value can be related to very different local circumstances. The relatively short period of 12 years hinders to link the trends to global climate change, we rather think that the observations might reveal a slow (decadal) mode of natural climate variability. Correlations with other atmospheric and oceanic variables are found at various (statistically insignificant) levels, therefore at the moment we cannot prove any causal relationship or propose a solid explanation of the observations.

2 Data and methods

DSI records at 4 914 440 geographic locations are evaluated in order to identify linear trends. Each individual record consists of 552 points covering 12 years from 1 January 2000 to 31 December 2011. The basic time-step is 8 days, apart from the necessary cuts at the end of each year. Example time series and linear trends are shown in Fig. 1 for three nearby locations (at the same latitude) in Argentina, where significant negative trends are identified (see below).

Statistical significance of slopes is verified by the standard permutation test (Manly, 2007). Since most of the DSI signals exhibit marked persistence on time scales of weeks or even months (see Fig. 1), the basic unit of data shuffling was one whole calendar year. We cut a given record into 12 pieces, and built a test set from randomly shuffled and glued years. The mean slope and standard deviation \( \sigma \) were determined, and we accepted a fitted slope of a measured record to be significant when its distance from zero was larger than \( 2\sigma \) of its own test set. Figure 2 illustrates that a test set size of 100 samples provides essentially the same statistics as 100 000 random
samples, however for the sake of minimising errors we fixed the test set size of 1000 samples. Obviously the larger the sample size the closer the histogram of obtained slopes to a pure Gaussian (not shown here), however the mean and variance do not show detectable sensitivity to the size of the test sets (Fig. 2).

The histogram of all fitted slopes is shown in Fig. 3 (blue bars), note the logarithmic vertical scale. The shape is clearly not Gaussian with a mean value of $-0.00875$ and standard deviation of $0.04971$ DSI year$^{-1}$. Statistically significant local slopes are obtained for 852,373 data points (17.34 %) at $2\sigma$ level, the numbers for $2.5\sigma$ and $3\sigma$ limits are 269,900 (5.49 %) and 16,321 (0.33 %), respectively. Negative (drying) trends have a mean slope of $-0.05466 \pm 0.04535$, while significant positive slopes are around $0.02892 \pm 0.04685$ DSI year$^{-1}$. Obviously, spatial correlations bias these numerical values (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995; Douglas et al., 2000; Ventura et al., 2004; Wilks, 2006; Renard et al., 2008), however we will demonstrate that a proper interpretation of DSI should be based on local information.

3 Results and discussion

The main result of the present analysis is illustrated in Fig. 4. Note that reddish and blueish colouration indicate sites of significant DSI trends, and the zero level is not white (the latter is used to identify missing locations). There are several geographically connected areas exhibiting “drying” (South America, Middle Asia or Sub-Equatorial Africa) or “wetting” (Middle and North Africa, Indian Peninsula or Eastern Spain) tendencies.

In order to demonstrate the power of high resolution mapping, we illustrate zooms in South America (Figs. 5 and 6) and India (Fig. 7). In both cases, we show examples where significant trends at isolated locations have a plausible explanation, and they are not observational error. In Fig. 6, an area at the border of Uruguay and Brazil is depicted, where the satellite picture of the yellow rectangle clearly indicates intense agricultural activity (see the straight cuts between forested area and crop fields). The
yellow circle in Fig. 7 (see also the Inset) identifies the pixels around the Indira Sagar Reservoir, which is constructed as the key project of a large multipurpose river basin development on the river Narmada. Full scale energy production has been started in 2005, just in the middle of the observed period. Most probably the gradual filling up of the reservoir resulted in a decreasing vegetated area, thus the DSI signal reflects a decreasing trend in spite of the fact that the same area stores a huge amount of water. We think that these observations provide a clear example of the complexity behind a proper interpretation of DSI data and DSI trends.

We emphasise that the remotely sensed DSI is a standardised variable (Mu et al., 2013), thus values and trends provide local information: the same numerical value can be connected to very different local circumstances. The examples shown in Figs. 6 and 7 illustrate that there is no easy interpretation of the observed trends, especially on such large geographic areas as identified in Figs. 5 and 7 covering several local climatic regions and river basins.

The question naturally arises, which factors can be related with the observations. As for South America, Saurral et al. (2013) identified a pattern of enhanced rainfall activity over the South Atlantic Convergence Zone in summer when sea ice cover is above average over the Weddell Sea area, while winter SIC anomalies exhibit negative and significant correlations with rainfall over much of South America. Grimm et al. (2000) found that the strengthening of the South Pacific high near central Chile in winter–spring and the decrease of water vapour advection from the Atlantic into eastern Argentina and Uruguay in summer are climatic features related to precipitation. Barreiro et al. (2014) concluded that rainfall over subtropical South America has a strong relationship with SST anomalies on interdecadal time scales. Barrucand et al. (2014) studied spatially extended precipitation-deficit conditions (droughts) and found that warm and cold dry months are related with specific mid-level circulation patterns (geopotential height anomalies at the level of 500 hPa).

In India, Kothyari and Singh (1996) studied long-term time series of summer monsoon rainfall and identified decadal departures above and below the long time average
alternatively for three consecutive decades. Singh and Sontakke (2002) reported on an increase in extreme rainfall events over northwest India during the summer monsoon and a decline of the number of rainy days along east coastal stations in the past decades, resulting in a westward shift in rainfall activities. Similarly, Murumkar and Arya (2014) demonstrated by means of wavelet analysis that prominent annual rainfall periods exist ranging from 2 to 8 years at all the studied stations after 1960s. Large scale spatial and temporal correlations between the trends of rainfall and temperature are found by Subash and Sikka (2013), without a direct relationship between increasing rainfall and increasing maximum temperature of monthly or seasonal patterns over meteorological subdivisions of India. As for the particular area, even glaciers can be listed as candidate explanatory factors, since they influence runoff into lowland rivers, and recharge river-fed aquifers (Bolch et al., 2012). In order to illustrate the difficulties of interpreting DSI trends, Panda and Kumar (2014) also found increasing trends of extreme rainfall indices based on the percentile and absolute values, simultaneously with a significantly increased length of dry spells over northern and central regions of India, suggesting a serious threat to the Indian agriculture.

The relatively short period of 12 years is not enough to connect the results with global climate change. Probably the interpretation of DSI values requires several explanatory variables, since the index itself is a complex quantity. Figure 8 illustrates example time series of standard atmospheric parameters (daily mean temperature, precipitation and relative humidity) for two weather stations in Eastern Spain (Tortosa and Zaragosa) seemingly embedded in a rather large wetting region (data from Klein Tank et al., 2002). There is no sign of any trends in the time series during the study period, even when we check the previous decades (not plotted here).

We think that the observed significant DSI trends over extended geographic areas are related to a decadal mode of the natural climate variability. An appropriate interpretation by means of a few explanatory variables would provide a tool for predicting severe droughts or wetting trends, however preliminary attempts suggest that it can be a difficult task.
4 Conclusions

We can summarise our findings in three points:

– The remotely sensed DSI records compiled by Mu et al. (2013) exhibit significant local trends in several geographic areas.

– Since the interpretation of DSI values and trends depend on several local factors demonstrated in Figs. 6–8, standard field significance tests cannot provide more reliable results than the presented local trend survey.

– While the numerical values of the standardised drought severity index can be related to very different local circumstances, a continent-wide tendency might be related to either a slow mode of natural climate variability or global climate change. The observational time of 12 years is certainly not long enough to conclude, however there is clear call of a proper explanation of the observed trends.

Work is in progress to find an explanation of the observed trends. Candidate indices are the Northern Annular Mode/North Atlantic Oscillation, Southern Annular Mode, El Niño – Southern Oscillation, sea surface temperature (SST) anomalies, sea ice cover (SIC), Atlantic Multidecadal Variability, etc. However, we intentionally avoid any over-interpretation, or vague explanation based on some cross-correlation coefficients.
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Figure 1. Example DSI records of significant negative trends in Argentina along latitude 30.025° S: 64.225° W (black), 64.025° W (red), and 63.775° W (blue). Solid lines indicate fitted linear trends.
Figure 2. Significance analysis of fitted slopes by using test sets of randomly shuffled whole year DSI records. The ensemble means and standard deviations are plotted for 10 sites along latitude 30.025° S, evenly spaced by 0.05° westward starting from 63.775° W. Test set sizes are 100 (black circles), 1000 (red squares), 10 000 (orange diamonds), and 100 000 (blue stars). Maroon crosses indicate fitted slopes (DSI\text{year}^{-1}) for the original measured time series.
Figure 3. Histogram of all fitted slopes (blue) over the continents, where DSI data are available (4,914,440 locations). It is clearly not a Gaussian (note the logarithmic vertical scale), the global mean value is $-0.00875 \pm 0.04971 \text{DSI.year}^{-1}$. Orange bars denote the histogram of mean slopes computed for 1000 randomly shuffled test sets for each geographic locations. Red bars indicate significant slopes at $2\sigma$ or higher level.
Figure 4. Geographic distribution of sites where DSI trends are significant at 2σ or higher level. Linear trend slopes in units of DSI year$^{-1}$ are color coded.
Figure 5. Zoom to South America at the highest spatial resolution of 0.05° × 0.05°. Trends in units of DSI year⁻¹ are color coded.
Figure 6. Zoom to an area at the border of Uruguay and Brazil, where local trends indicate "wetting" (left panel). The satellite picture (http://maps.google.com) clearly indicates intensive agricultural/forestry activity in the region (right panel).
Figure 7. Zoom to the Indian Peninsula at the highest spatial resolution of $0.05^\circ \times 0.05^\circ$. Trends in units of DSI year$^{-1}$ are color coded. Yellow circle locate the Indira Sagar Reservoir, approx. 22.17$^\circ$ N, 76.65$^\circ$ E (see the Inset).
Figure 8. (a) Locations of two weather stations embedded in an apparently wetting region in Eastern Spain: Tortosa (40.82° N, 0.48° E) and Zaragoza (41.65° N, 1.00° W). (b) Daily mean temperature, (c) daily precipitation (note the logarithmic vertical scale), and (d) daily relative humidity for the two stations (black: Tortosa, red: Zaragoza). Data from Klein Tank et al. (2002).